

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/482,054 01/13/2000		Kenneth Margon			031613.0012	6497		
21967	7590	04/17/2003						
HUNTON & WILLIAMS						EXAMINER		
1900 K STRE		PERTY DEPA	ARTMENT			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109						ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
						2665		
					DAT	DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/482,054	MARGON, KENNETH
7. 	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven HD Nguyen	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the shortened statutory period for shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period f	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 February 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) L they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-61,64-71,74-77,80 and 81</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_ 0
10. Other:		Steven HD Nguyen Primary Examiner
S. Patent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 2665